

# Thermal Analysis of Liquid First Wall

Dependence of Liquid Surface Temperature on Radiation Penetration and  
Turbulence Enhancement

Alice Ying

APEX Study Meeting  
January 12-14, 1998  
UCLA

## Objectives

- To evaluate thermal response of the liquid jet/film and to help establish design windows for the liquid first wall concepts in MFE devices.
- The goal of thermal analysis is to achieve a minimum surface temperature facing the plasma side and a maximum exit temperature from the blanket.

[Minimum surface temperature is determined by the maximum allowable evaporation rate for liquid that still insure stable plasma operation].

- The impact of photon radiation spectrum, the choice of the liquid, flow configuration, liquid velocity and thickness is under investigation.

Candidate liquids-                      Li, Flibe and Pb-17Li

Flow configurations considered are turbulent free jet and fast flowing film.

## Methodology - Three-Dimensional Numerical Solution

The temperature profile of the liquid jet/film is calculated using a three-dimensional finite difference heat transfer code. The code solves the energy equation:

$$\rho C_p \left[ v_x \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + v_z \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right] = K \nabla^2 T + q'''$$

- Surface heat flux is applied as a boundary condition while heat deposition due to nuclear heating and x-ray penetration are accounted in the heat source term.
- To account for the sharp heat deposition gradient, finer meshes are used in the first 1 cm of the jet/film close to the plasma side.

## Velocity Profile

- A plug velocity profile is used to simulate the turbulent jet velocity profile; while a parabolic velocity profile is used for the turbulent film.
- Turbulent “patches” are included in the analysis to estimate the turbulent heat transfer enhancement for flibe film.





Figure 20. Flow patterns of the large-scale motions, visualized by the hydrogen bubble technique by Komori *et al* (1989a).





Figure 24. Sketch of burst evolution in a flowing liquid layer between a wall and a free surface. (a) Ejection from the wall; (b) Interaction with the interface; (c) Inflow from the interface.

## Problem Illustration


Surface heat load =  $2 \text{ MW/m}^2$   
Neutron wall load =  $7 \text{ MW/m}^2$

### Starting Point


liquid velocity:  $20 \text{ m/s}$   
liquid thickness:  $5 \text{ cm}$




**Impact of Incident Photon Energy Spectrum on Lithium Film  
Temperature Profile (Plug velocity profile of 20 m/s; mid-plane location)**  
Surface temperature at mid-plane drops about 40 K under the assumed spectra



**Total Fractional Power of Low Energy Tails are about the Same for 2 Spectra Investigated**





**Flibe Surface Temperature Drops about 340 K if the Incident Surface Heat Load Follows a Classical Bremsstrahlung Radiation of  $T_e = 10$  keV**






**Flibe Surface Temperature Drops about 340 K if the Incident Surface Heat Load Follows a Classical Bremsstrahlung Radiation of  $T_e = 10$  keV**







**Characteristics of Temperature Increase as Lead Proceeds Downstream**  
(lead velocity = 20 m/s, film thickness = 5 cm)



## Impact of Liquid Thermal-physical Properties on Temperature Gradient Across the Film




**Lithium has the lowest surface temperature rise while Li-Pb has both the highest surface and bulk temperature rises**




## The Magnitude of Surface Temperature Drop Depends on the Size of the Velocity Fluctuation (which Characterizes the Turbulent Patch)


The calculation assumes  $V_y = 4\%$  of  $V_z$ .  $V_x$  is determined based on mass balance and the size of the eddy. Flibe surface temperature drops about 20 to 40 K.



## Lithium Surface Temperature Increases Slightly due to Additional Nuclear Heating (about 6 K)



**Impact of Velocity Profile on Lithium Film Temperature Profile**  
Surface temperature drops about 17 K due to a higher velocity on the free surface side in a parabolic velocity profile







## Impact of Velocity Profile on Lithium Film Surface Temperature

A higher surface velocity helps to reduce the surface temperature (even the average velocity is the same)

Classical Bremsstrahlung spectrum for  $T_e=10$  keV




**Increasing Lithium Flow Velocity Helps Smooth out the Temperature Gradient Across the Jet; Meanwhile Reduces the Surface Temperature**




**Impact of Lithium Film/Jet Thickness on Temperature Profile [About 10 K increases on surface temperature if jet thickness decreases from 5 to 1 cm]**

(velocity = 20 m/s,  $z = 5$  m)



**About a 57 K Increase on the Bulk for a 1 cm Lithium Film as Compared with that of 18 K for a 5 cm Film meanwhile the Surface Temperature Increases about 16 K ( $v = 20$  m/s)**



x-ray spectrum effect  
[ Bremsstrahlung  
radiation spectra  
of  $T_e = 2$  and 10  
keV]

- about 40 degree drop in lithium surface temperature under the assumed x-ray spectra
- a large surface temperature reduction of couple hundred degrees (for example 400 K) for flibe film stricken by a hard photon spectrum
- insignificant surface temperature reduction for Pb-17Li film within 10 keV photon energy

nuclear heating

- Liquid surface temperature increases  $\sim 8\%$  for lithium and about 20% for lead due to additional nuclear heating

liquid velocity

- For lithium, liquid velocity of about 30 m/s is needed to maintain a low evaporation rate of  $10^{19}$  /m<sup>2</sup>s. Higher velocities are needed for other fluids to achieve the the same rate.

liquid thickness

- Liquid layer can be as thin as 1 cm for “surface heat” removal.

## Conclusions

- Lithium appears to have the lowest surface temperature increase due to its high thermal conductivity and long x-ray mean free path among the three liquid options
  - For a combined surface heat load of  $2 \text{ MW/m}^2$  and neutron wall load of  $7 \text{ MW/m}^2$ , if the incident surface heat load follows a classical Bremsstrahlung radiation spectrum for a Te of 2 keV or above, the surface temperature, can be maintained below  $325^\circ\text{C}$  [corresponding to an evaporation rate of  $10^{19} / \text{m}^2\text{s}$ ] over a 10 m long flow path with velocities of 30 m/s or higher.
- The analysis shows that 2 liquid layers should be used for the liquid lithium first wall/blanket: a high speed (of  $> 30 \text{ m/s}$ ) liquid layer to minimize the evaporation rate and a much lower speed ( $< 0.1 \text{ m/s}$ ) zone behind it to maximize the bulk exit temperature for a high thermal efficiency.

## Conclusions (Cont'd)

- Flibe first wall has the steepest temperature profile across the film mainly due to its low thermal conductivity (1.06 W/mK compared to 47.6 W/mK of lithium).
- If the incident surface heat load follows a classical Bremsstrahlung radiation spectrum corresponding to a  $T_e$  of 10 keV or above, the flibe surface temperature drops significantly (about 400 K at the 10 m exit as compared to that from the radiative surface heat load).
- Further surface temperature drop can be induced by the turbulent patches. All these factors would reduce the flibe first wall surface temperature.
- Pb-17Li liquid first wall has the highest temperature increases, both surface and bulk even under a classical Bremsstrahlung radiation spectrum of  $T_e = 10$  keV, due to the mean free path of Pb-17Li being too short to redistribute the heat to the bulk.
- Furthermore, being an electrical conducting medium there might be no turbulent heat transfer enhancement because of the MHD effect.

## Thermal Related R&D Issues

- Effect of flow stability on liquid thermal performance
- Impact of turbulent flow structure on heat transfer enhancement
- Impact of MHD effects