MEASUREMENTS OF DECAY RADIOACTIVITY OF LONG-LIVED ISOTOPES
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ABSTRACT

Measurements of long-lived radioactivity are required to generate reliable data-base for qualifying fusion materials for reactor applications. However, long half lives necessitate intense 14 MeV neutron source, long irradiation time, long cooling time, and long counting time under low background. A 32n12m long irradiation, at mean source neutron intensity of 1.3 x 10^{12} n/s, was carried out on a number of foil packages kept near rotating neutron target source at FNS under USDOE/JAERI collaborative program in June 1989. Four identical foil packages were kept at 0, 45, 90, and -115 degrees to the d^* beam. Each package contained foils of Ag, Al, Dy, Hf, 151Eu, 152Eu, Hf, Ho, Ir, Mo, Re, Tb, and W.

The objective was to measure decay y radioactivity from 106mAg, 152Eu, 155Tb, 152Eu, 150Eu, 94Nb, 186mRe, 178m2Hf, 192mIr, and 166mHo, among others. The half lives of these products range from 13.3y (152Eu) to 0.72mMy (26Al). These foils were interspersed with dosimetric foils of Nb and Zr. An estimated average fluence of -0.83 x 10^{15} n/cm^2 (range: 0.47-1.65 x 10^{15} n/cm^2) was obtained for the foil-package at zero degree. After cooling times ranging from 1.3 to 2 years, y-spectroscopy of some of these foils has been completed. Analysis of measurements done on foil package at zero degree, has been carried out using four radioactivity codes, REAC-2, DKRICF, ACT4 (THIDA-2), and RACC. REAC-2 is the only code that has data for most of the observed products; RACC has data for Al, Mo and W products only. Ratio of computed to experimentally measured activities varies from 4.10^3 to 377. Major update of all four cross-section libraries is recommended as waste classification of many fusion specific materials is likely to change dramatically.

I. INTRODUCTION

Materials in fusion reactors will generate a variety of long-lived radioactive isotopes due to their exposure to high 14 MeV neutron fluxes. These long-lived isotopes raise serious concern regarding waste disposal, maintenance, and safety. Nuclear data base for these isotopes is scarce. Calculations by theoretical models have not either been attempted frequently enough or are not very satisfactory. They are subject to many uncertainties as even basic nuclear level properties are not available for many long-lived isotopes. Hence, measurements of long-lived radioactivity are required to generate reliable data-base for qualifying fusion materials for reactor applications. However, long half lives necessitate intense 14 MeV neutron source, long irradiation time, long cooling time, and long counting time under low background.

Requirements for activation cross section data for fusion reactors were outlined recently by Cheng. Among the cross sections recommended to be measured included: 93Nb(n,2n)92Nb (t_1/2=20.3Ky), 109Ag(n,2n)108mAg (127y), 151Eu(n,2n)150Eu(35.8y), 153Eu(n,2n)152Eu(13.3y), 158Dy(n,p)158Tb(150y), 193Ir(n,2n)192mIr(241y), 182W(n,n'alpha)178mHf(31y), 187Re(n,2n)186mRe(0.2My), 179Hf(n,2n)178mHf(31y), 185Re(n,y)186mRe(0.2My), 151Eu(n,y)152Eu(13.3y), 165Ho(n,y)166mHo(1.2Ky), and 191Ir(n,y)192mIr(241y). Only, recently, there has been a coordinated effort by an IAEA CRP (Coordinated Research Program) to get these and other cross sections measured. Prior work in this area has been reviewed by Qaim, and is mostly available in references 8 through 13.

A long irradiation for decay y radioactivity measurements was carried out at fusion neutronics source facility of JAERI in June 1989, under USDOE/JAERI collaborative program, to respond to the pressing need for providing activation cross sections for long-lived isotopes, on one hand, and validation of existing data bases of leading radioactivity-calculation codes, on the other. Preliminary data on measured activation cross sections was recently reported elsewhere. This complements our concurrent program on short-lived isotopes, within the same framework, that has led us to uncover large discrepancies on activation cross sections and decay data for a number of materials, e.g., Ni, Mn, Mo, Ti, Zr, W, Ta, Zn, Sn, Cr, Pb, V, and Co.

Here, we present and discuss measured decay y radioactivity data for 11 materials irradiated at zero degree orientation with respect to d^* beam axis. Results of analysis using four leading radioactivity codes are subsequently discussed.

II. EXPERIMENT

Figure 1 shows experimental arrangement of four foil packages near rotating neutron target (RNT) of fusion neutronics source (FNS) facility of JAERI. Arrangement of foils inside foil package1 (0 degree) is provided in Figure 2. Irradiation data is summarized in Table 1. 14 MeV neutron flux inside each foil package was monitored through 93Nb(n,2n)92mNb reaction by placing 10 Nb foils, a Nb foil separating two main foils. Zr foils were also kept, only 5 of them per package. The objective behind the latter foils was to monitor neutron energy spectrum through Zr/Nb activity ratio. However, 90Zr(n,2n)89m*Zr (t_1/2=78.4h) reaction rate could not be measured due to strong interference from longer half life isotopes from the same material. The first Nb foil (Nb-1 in Fig. 2) is deduced to be at 2.51 cm from the source point (inside target) from the measured 92mNb activity. Mean 14 MeV neutron flux over package 1 spread over a distance of 2.51 to 4.69 cm from the source point, is estimated to be -0.83 x 10^{15} n/cm^2, for irradiation of 32n12m duration at averaged source intensity of 1.15 x 10^{12} n/s. The fluence over this package is deduced to range from 1.65 x 10^{15} to
Table I: Long-lived Radioactivity Experiment: Irradiation Data

14 MeV Source: Fusion Neutronics Source (FNS) at JAERI
D-T Neutrons via $^3$T(d,n) $^4$He Reaction
Deuteron energy: 350 keV
Average $d^*$ beam current: 20 mA
Typical Sample size:
10 mm in dia x 1-2 mm in thickness
10 mm x 10 mm x 1 mm
Sample position:
0, 45, 90 deg. with respect to $d^*$ beam
at 3 - 5 cm from the target
Flux Monitor: $^{93}$Nb(n,$2n$)$^{92}$Nb
Irradiation time: 32 hours
Average Neutron Intensity: $1.13 \times 10^{12}$ n/s

Large volume germanium detector, with 115% efficiency relative to NaI(Tl), was employed for $\gamma$-spectroscopy after an initial cooling period of more than 1.4 y. Long cooling times are needed for elimination of strongly interfering decay $\gamma$s from short-lived isotopes. 184 keV $\gamma$ from $^{165}$Ho (t$_{1/2}$=1200y) was not observed due to its decay rate being quite low; quite possibly, it is overwhelmed by much higher background count rate. Typical cooling and counting times for eleven foils of package#1 are displayed in Table II. Cooling times varying from 1.47 to 1.99y and counting times varying from 103.8 m to 13945.8 m are involved. Major impurities are also listed in the same table. Hafnium and dysprosium have large impurity contents. The count data was analyzed using spectroscopy application package of Nuclear Data Systems, which was installed on newly introduced Vax station 3100M48-based data-acquisition system (including ND556 acquisition interface module) at FNS. This gave peak energy, counts, and standard deviation on counts. As expected, large number of $\gamma$-peaks are due to background. Visual peak identification is a must.

The identified peaks with their percent decay yield and half life data, from references 18 and 19, are shown in Table III.

Table II: Cooling and Counting Times for Foil Packet at Zero Degree

Material | Major Impurities (Maximum Weight % or ppm) | Identifier | Cooling Time | Counting Time |
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
Ag | <0.01% (Au: 10ppm) | AG01L1 | 1.8y | 304.7y |
Al | <0.01% | ALL2 | 1.99y | 13946.3y |
Dy | 0.6%Ta, 0.01%VorOre/Gre | DVL2 | 1.83y | 3043.3y |
97.1%$^{115}$In | 2.8%$^{113}$In | EUS1 | 1.85y | 976.8y |
99.2%$^{112}$In | 0.8%$^{118}$In | EUS2 | 1.85y | 4411.8y |
Hf | <10,2,3%Th/10%Re/50%W | HFL2 | 1.34y | 3358.3y |
Ir | <0.1%Re; 200%F; 30%Rh/30% | IFL1 | 1.82y | 283.10y |
Mo | <0.1%Re; 30%Co; 50%Cr; 20%Mo | M0L2 | 1.53y | 13948.7y |
Re | <0.1%Fe; 41%Co; 43%Cr; 3%V | REL2 | 1.47y | 1294.71y |
Tb | 0.1%Zr; 0.01%Eu; 0.04%Yd; 0.04%Y | TBL1 | 1.82y | 103.8y |
W | <0.01%Fe; 0.003%Se | WFL2 | 1.51y | 2450.70y |

$^{184}$Ra, $^{160}$Tb (Tb & Dy), and $^{158}$Tb(Tb). However, no peaks were observed for $^{192}$Ir(241y), $^{165}$Ho, and $^{172}$Hf (31y, from W). Probable reason lies in their low activation cross sections and decay $\gamma$ yields. Nuclear reactions considered responsible for these observed peaks are summarized in Table IV. TT stands for isotropic transition. $^{94}$Nb is not listed in Table IV. It has a half life of 6.26m and decays into $^{94}$Nb via IT with 99.53% branching ratio.
## III. HIGHLIGHTS OF MEASURED AND TREATED DATA

Foils were weighed before irradiation, and their masses were determined within 0.1%. Foil mass and count time are used as input for obtaining the decay γ emission rate from measured counts. The peak-wise count data was treated to obtain decay γ emission rate per g for a normalizing source neutron intensity of $10^{12}$ n/s. Figure 3 shows total, integrated (100 KeV to 3 MeV γ energy) γ-emission rate as a function of Z of irradiated material for cooling times listed in Tab. II. Note that EU1 and EU3 stand respectively for 97.7% $^{151}$Eu enriched and 99.2% $^{153}$Eu enriched europium. It is interesting to observe that decay γ rate is quite low for Al and it hits a peak, for a value of $-10^4$ γ/ g, for EU3, and then starts dropping and hits minimum at Dy. It starts rising...
Reactions Contributing to Observed γ-Ray Peaks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Radioactive Isotope</th>
<th>Reactions</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Radioactive Isotope</th>
<th>Reactions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>109mAg</td>
<td>109mAg</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>197Ir</td>
<td>197Ir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110mAg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>111mAg</td>
<td>109Ag(n,2n)108Ag</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>39Al</td>
<td>27Al(n,2n)39Al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>160Tb</td>
<td>160Dy(n,n)160Tb, 161Dy(n,n)161Tb, 162Dy(n,n)162Tb, 160Dy(n,2n)159Tb, 160Dy(n,3n)158Tb</td>
<td>159Tb</td>
<td>160Dy(n,n)159Tb, 160Dy(n,2n)158Tb, 160Dy(n,3n)157Tb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>169Tb</td>
<td>168Dy(n,n)168Tb, 169Dy(n,2n)168Tb, 169Dy(n,3n)167Tb</td>
<td>158Tb</td>
<td>168Dy(n,n)158Tb, 168Dy(n,2n)157Tb, 168Dy(n,3n)156Tb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>164Eu</td>
<td>153Eu(n,n)154Eu</td>
<td>164Eu</td>
<td>153Eu(n,n)154Eu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>165Eu</td>
<td>153Eu(n,2n)152Eu</td>
<td>165Eu</td>
<td>153Eu(n,2n)152Eu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>166Eu</td>
<td>153Eu(n,3n)152Eu</td>
<td>166Eu</td>
<td>153Eu(n,3n)152Eu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>177mHf</td>
<td>177Hf</td>
<td>177W</td>
<td>181W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>177Hf</td>
<td>177Hf(n,2n)177Hf, 177Hf(n,3n)177Hf</td>
<td>177W</td>
<td>181W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>177Lu</td>
<td>177Lu(n,2n)177Lu, 177Lu(n,3n)177Lu</td>
<td>181W</td>
<td>181W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>177Lu</td>
<td>177Lu(n,2n)177Lu, 177Lu(n,3n)177Lu</td>
<td>181W</td>
<td>181W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>177mHf</td>
<td>177Hf(n,2n)177Hf</td>
<td>181W</td>
<td>181W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>177Hf(n,2n)177Hf, 177Hf(n,3n)177Hf</td>
<td>181W</td>
<td>181W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>181Hf(n,2n)181Hf, 181Hf(n,3n)181Hf</td>
<td>181W</td>
<td>181W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Measured γ-energy integrated (100 KeV–3MeV) decay γ-emission rates as a function of material, the latter being arranged in order of increasing $Z$ again and hits a small peak at hafnium. Tungsten shows a relative decrease and lies at second minimum. Rhenium lies at top of third peak. It is to be stressed that this behavior is essentially characteristic of cooling times covered.

Material-wise highlights are summarized as follows:

III.A. Aluminum & Silver

Only one isotope, e.g., 26Al, has been identified in aluminum. The decay rate is one of the lowest. Even after counting time of 13946.6h (9.7d), net error on decay rate is 25%. Two isotopes, 108mAg and 108Ag, have been identified for silver. All prominent peaks have low statistical error. 108mAg dominates the decay rate. It accounts for ~76% of total.

III.B. Molybdenum

The dominant isotopes are 95Nb and 95Zr. The other isotopic activities are for 91mNb, 87Zr, and 94m+95Nb, 95Nb accounts for 58.9%, 95Zr for 26.3%, and 94mNb for 0.8%. The total error on 94m+95Nb decay rate is ~22%.

III.C. Dysprosium & Terbium

Only two isotopes have been identified in dysprosium, e.g., 160Tb, and 158m+159Tb. 160Tb accounts for as much as 96% of total decay rate. Also, counting statistics for 159Tb are quite poor, the net error being ~67%.

Terbium too has two products, i.e., 158Tb and 160Tb. However, 158Tb dominates by far and accounts for as much as ~88% of the total decay rate. Moreover, the counting error is quite low, almost on all identified peaks for both the isotopes.

III.D. Europium

For 99.7% 151Eu-enriched foil, only two products were observed, e.g., 150Eu, and 152m+153Eu. 150Eu decay rate dominates the total, being as much as 75%. However, ~25% contribution by 152Eu is alarming as it highlights the effectiveness of softer component of the neutron energy spectrum during radiative capture, i.e., 151Eu(n,γ)152Eu. By the same token, analytical modeling of the experiment will have to try to account for all sources of neutron slowing down.

99.2% 153Eu-enriched foil brings out three identifiable contributors, e.g., 152m+153Eu, 154Eu, and 156Eu. 153Eu dominates with 95.2%, and 154Eu and 156Eu follow up with 4.1 and 0.7% respectively. 153Eu(n,γ)154Eu appears to have less effective cross-section as compared to that for 152m+153Eu.
III.E. Hafnium

\(^{178}\)Hf dominates energy-integrated decay rate. It accounts for almost 81%. Other contributors are: \(^{172}\)Lu (12\%), \(^{176m}\)Hf (5.4\%), and \(^{92}\)Zr (1\%). \(^{176m}\)Hf contributes ~0.25\% only. Note that \(^{92}\)Zr \((\text{f} \text{u} = 64\text{d})\) results from 2.8\% Zr impurity in hafnium sample.

III.F. Rhenium & Tungsten

In rhenium, \(^{184}\)Re accounts for as much as 95\% of total, followed by \(^{184}\)Re (1.5\%), and \(^{182}\)Ta (2\%). However, 0.2\text{MeV} \(^{186}\)Re decay \(\gamma\)-s at 99.4 KeV were not observed.

Leading contributor in decay rate of irradiated tungsten sample is \(^{182}\)Ta, giving as much as 86.4\%. Most of the remaining contribution comes from \(^{185}\)W. One of the counting runs saw faint twin peaks of \(^{181}\)W, i.e., at 136 and 152 KeV. The counting error is more than 30\% at each of these two peaks, however.

III.G. Iridium

Only two contributors were identifiable. These are \(^{192}\)Ir and \(^{194}\)Ir. However, \(^{194}\)Ir accounts for only 1.8\%, as against 98.2\% for \(^{192}\)Ir. This kind of trend is expected in a hard, 14 MeV dominated neutron energy spectrum. No trace of 115 KeV peak of \(^{241}\)y \(^{192}\)Ir was to be found in the measured \(\gamma\)-spectrum.

IV. ANALYSIS

As described in Ref. 16, analysis involves a multi-step procedure. Neutron energy spectrum was computed for all foils in foil package\#1 with MCNP\#20 for irradiation geometry shown in Figs.1 and 2. Wherever possible, RMCCS evaluation was used for transport cross-sections. Energy-dependent branching ratio between \(^{92}\)Nb and \(^{94}\)Nb was used to obtain correct \(^{93}\)Nb(n,2n)\(^{92}\)Nb reaction rate. Figure 4 displays a typical MCNP evaluated neutron energy spectrum, for first Nb foil.

![Neutron Energy Spectrum at Nb\#1](image)

**Fig. 4.** Computed neutron energy spectrum, by MCNP, at first Nb foil location in package\#1

Also, \(^{93}\)Nb(n,2n)\(^{92}\)Nb reaction rates were computed at all ten Nb foil locations. These computed \(^{92}\)Nb production rates were compared to measured rates and a correction factor was obtained for computed neutron energy spectrum for each foil location. The corrected neutron energy spectra were then used to generate multi-group fluxes for each of four leading radioactivity codes, e.g.,

\[ \text{DKRIFC}^21, \text{REAC-2}^22, \text{RACC}^23, \text{and ACT4 (central THIDA-2 module)}^24. \]

Overall neutron flux conservation was governing criterion for this transformation. Discrepancy between transformed and original flux was computed to be negligible. Other input parameters for these codes included sample composition, irradiation and cooling times. Decay and activation cross section libraries form part of each code used.

The decay data and cross-section libraries of these four codes were searched to find the existence of data for all nuclides of interest for the reported measurements. Only REAC-2 contains most of the data. There is no data for \(^{158}\) Dy reactions. It is for this reason that \(^{158}\) Dy(n,p)\(^{158}\)Tb reaction for \(^{158}\)Tb product of Dy has been enclosed within square brackets in Tab. IV. RACC libraries were the most deficient of the remaining three. RACC has no data for Ag, Dy, Eu, Hf, Ir, Re, and Tb. DKRIFC (or, mentioned interchangeably, DKR) has no data for Dy, Eu, Hf, Ir, and Tb. Also, a number of times, data is incomplete even for the cases where it exists, e.g., there is no data for \(^{185}\)Re(n,\(\gamma\))\(^{186}\)Re, \(^{185}\)Re(n,\(2\gamma\))\(^{187}\)Ta, and \(^{187}\)Re(n,2n)\(^{186}\)Re. THIDA-2 has no data for Dy, Ir, and Tb. Also, it is not as complete as REAC-2 libraries for other materials.

We have followed two-pronged approach during analysis. Evaluated spectra of decay \(\gamma\)-emission rates were compared to those measured, on one hand, and deduced experimental reaction rates (by using published decay \(\gamma\)-yields from Ref. 18) were also compared to those computed by these codes, on the other hand. A number of these calculations were cross-checked by an independent calculation using all required data from data libraries of each code. Good agreement was found between the two. In what follows, an attempt has been made to compare reaction rates rather than decay \(\gamma\)-rates. This is to avoid pitfalls related to elementary errors committed by the preprocessing in the decay data libraries of these codes. In fact, we discovered quite a number of such instances during our concurrent work on short-lived isotopes 15-16. Correct decay data was assured for all calculations for same target-product combination in the current analysis.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figures 5 through 14 show ratio of computed (C) to experimentally (E) measured reaction rates for the identified products for all eleven foils of package\#1. Each figure displays C/E's for all codes having nuclear data for the products involved. Name of each isotope is entered close to one of the C/E's. Cooling time is also mentioned.

For \(^{108m}\)Ag (see Fig. 5), C/E's for different codes are: REAC, 2.19 (6\% error); DKRIFC, 2.19 (6\%); THIDA, 3.69 (6\%). For \(^{110m}\)Ag, C/E's are: REAC, 0.39; DKRIFC, 0.35; THIDA, 1.85.\#10.\#2. The cross-sections at 14 MeV in all the libraries need major revisions for \(^{108m}\)Ag production. Using recently reported measured cross-sections 14, one gets the following ratio of the library data to the measurement: at 14 MeV: REAC, 1.9; DKRIFC, 1.9; THIDA, 3.2. In this regard, it is important to mention that recent PBT measurements yield \(^{108m}\)Ag half life of ~300y.\#2. Our analysis assumed half life of 127y. If we accept new value of half life of ~300y, modified C/E ratios for \(^{108m}\)Ag are: REAC, 0.93 (6\%); DKRIFC, 0.93 (6\%); THIDA, 1.56 (6\%). This leads to important inference that the cross-sections in REAC-2 and DKRIFC are within acceptable statistical error. But one needs to modify \(^{108m}\)Ag half life to ~300y. Before this inference is accepted by us, we will verify this by new measurements on Ag foil at zero degree in identical foil-detector configuration.

For \(^{26}\)Al, C/E's are: REAC, 1.17 (26\%); DKRIFC, 0.62 (26\%); THIDA, 0.19 (26\%); RACC, 0.25 (26\%); Dysprosium...
Fig. 5. Ag: ratio of computed (C) to experimentally measured (E) activation rates as a function of product half life

Fig. 6. Dy: ratio of computed (C) to experimentally measured (E) activation rates as a function of product half life

Fig. 7. 97.7% 151Eu -enriched Europium: ratio of computed (C) to experimentally measured (E) activation rates as a function of product half life

Fig. 8. 99.2% 153Eu-enriched Europium: ratio of computed (C) to experimentally measured (E) activation rates as a function of product half life

(see Figure 6) has poor counting statistics. 158m+Tb and 160Tb yield C/E’s of 7.78x10^{-2} (67%), and 2.91 (35%) respectively, by REAC-2. Main reason for low C/E for 158m+Tb is to be found in missing cross-section data on 158Dy(n,p)158m+Tb reactions in REAC-2.

151Eu-enriched europium has low C/E for 152Eu (see Figure 7). Partly, it might come from lack of enough accounting for low energy neutrons as cross section for 151Eu(n,γ)152Eu picks up rapidly at lower neutron energies. In fact, it is a tough problem to accumulate acceptable statistics, with MCNP, after accounting for all details of the experimental geometry. Too many geometrical cells will excite exorbitant CPU time. C/E’s for 152Eu production are: REAC, 1.23 (4%); DKRIFC, 0.81 (4%); THIDA, 0.94 (4%). Discrepancy for 152Eu is traceable to inaccurate cross-section data in REAC-2 and DKRIFC. Using measured data at 14 MeV as normalization, one obtains the following ratios for the library cross-sections: REAC, 1.42; DKRIFC, 0.85; THIDA, 0.99.

153Eu-enriched europium has low C/E for 154Eu (see Figure 8). C/E for 152m+Eu product gives a lot of divergence among different codes. C/E’s for this isotope are: REAC, 2.14 (7%); DKRIFC, 0.79 (7%); THIDA, 1.10 (7%). The source of divergent C/E’s for 152m+Eu lies in discrepant cross-section data for all the libraries. Normalizing with respect to measured data of Ref. 14, one obtained the following ratios for the library cross-section at 14 MeV: REAC, 2.26; DKRIFC, 0.79; THIDA, 1.11. REAC-2 has same cross-section for metastable and ground states of the product, i.e., 1.88b. But, THIDA shows only 25.2% of total cross-section of 1.85b being in the metastable state. In fact, REAC-2 has simplified 50%-50% division into ground and metastable states for almost all the radioactive products. The present case is no exception.

Hafnium results look ‘relatively good’ for 178m2Hf and 178Hf only (see Fig. 9). C/E for 178m2Hf is 1.21 (15%) by REAC-2. Other codes do not have cross-section data for the generating reactions. C/E’s for 178Hf are: REAC, 1.94 (9%); THIDA, 1.88 (3%). There is no data in THIDA for prediction of 178Lu. REAC-2 does not do much better. C/E is just 4.51.10^{-2} (2%) Again, there is no explicit mention of data for 178m2Hf in THIDA. REAC-2 yields a C/E of 377.42 (8%) for the same product. In fact, cross section at 14 MeV for the reaction leading to the production of this isotope, in REAC-2 library, is 2.07 b.
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instead of measured value reported\textsuperscript{14} in the range of 6.3(10\%) mbi

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{fig9}
\caption{Hf: Long-lived Isotopes
Cooling time = 1.34y}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{fig10}
\caption{Ir: Long-lived Isotopes
Cooling time = 1.82y}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{fig11}
\caption{Mo: Long-lived Isotopes
Cooling time = 1.82y}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{fig12}
\caption{Re: Long-lived Isotopes
Cooling time = 1.47y}
\end{figure}

iridium has large C/E for \textsuperscript{194}Ir (see Fig. 10). It is 103.52 (38\% error). DKKICF lacks basic data for prediction of this isotope. \textsuperscript{192}Ir is predicted pretty closely by REAC-2 and DKKICF: C/E's are: REAC, 1.20 (2.6\%); DKKICF, 1.26 (2.6\%).

molybdenum shows a big scatter in C/E's for 3 isotopes out of 5 (Fig. 11). Closest agreement among codes is found for \textsuperscript{92}Mo (DKKICF: 0.87, THIDA: 0.94), and \textsuperscript{92}Mo (DKKICF: 0.92, THIDA: 0.92). C/E's for \textsuperscript{94}Nb are: REAC, 2.62 (21\%); DKKICF, 1.56; THIDA, 0.59; RACC, 0.59; Compared to measured value\textsuperscript{14} at 14 MeV, one finds the same trends for the library cross-section data for \textsuperscript{94}Nb production as for the \gamma-decay rates.

rhenium has comparable C/E's from REAC and THIDA for \textsuperscript{187}Ta (Fig. 12). The C/E's are: REAC, 0.78 (26\%); THIDA, 0.67 (26\%). DKKICF does not have \textsuperscript{185}Re(n,\alpha)\textsuperscript{182}Ta cross-section. \textsuperscript{184}Re has C/E's varying as: REAC, 0.68 (2.6\%); DKKICF, 0.59 (2.6%); THIDA, 1.54 (2.6%).

terbium has C/E's from REAC-2 alone (Fig. 13), as nuclear data for it is missing in other codes. C/E's for \textsuperscript{158}Tb and \textsuperscript{158}m+\alpha{Tb} are respectively 0.63 (11\%), and 2.01 (3.2\%). C/E looks surprisingly respectable for \textsuperscript{158}m+\alpha{Tb}! Here again, the total cross-section at 14 MeV for \textsuperscript{159}Tb(n,2n)\textsuperscript{158}m+\alpha{Tb}, in REAC-2, is overestimated by a factor of 2.19 vis-a-vis the recently reported measured data\textsuperscript{14}.

tungsten shows good agreement for \textsuperscript{181}W (Fig. 14) among all four libraries. C/E's are: REAC, 2.79; DKKICF, 2.68; THIDA, 2.68; RACC, 2.85. However, things get bad for \textsuperscript{182}Ta and \textsuperscript{183}W. C/E's for \textsuperscript{182}Ta are: REAC, 1.02 (8\%); DKKICF, 0.74; THIDA, 1.01; RACC, 0.68. C/E's for \textsuperscript{183}W are: REAC, 59.04 (26\%); DKKICF, 46.88 (26\%); THIDA, 57.37; RACC, 70.99.

Figure 15 is an attempt to summarize the status of evaluated versus measured data, irrespective of any code in particular, for products with half lives ranging from 0.68 y
Fig. 13. Tb: ratio of computed (C) to experimentally measured (E) activation rates as a function of product half life.

Fig. 14. W: ratio of computed (C) to experimentally measured (E) activation rates as a function of product half life.

Fig. 15. Mean ratio of computed (C) to experimentally measured (E) activation rates as a function of product half life for half lives ranging from 0.68 y to 0.72 My.
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(106Ag) to 0.72 My (24Al). Mean C/E was evaluated from all available C/E's for each isotope. Ten isotopes are included. Also shown in the figure is C/E=1 line-a desired objective. Only 152Eu, 152Eu, 94Nb, and 24Al come closest to this line, even though uncertainty on all data except for 152Eu is quite staggering. Generally, the data falls on both sides of this C/E=1 line. 178mHf is strongly overpredicted, 108mAg and 152m+7Tb (from Tb) are significantly overpredicted. 172Lu and 152m+7Tb (from Dy) are strongly underpredicted. Underprediction of 110mAg and 152Eu might be partly due to possible underestimation of softer component of the neutron energy spectrum used in the analysis. One needs to have in-depth verification through calculation of additional experimental data is compiled, one for revision of the cross sections falls on shoulders of the cross-section community.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Experimental measurements of decay γ activity from long-lived products of eleven materials, subjected to an average fluence of ~0.83 x 10^15 n/cm^2 at FNS, under USDOE/IAE collaboration program, have provided very valuable data for validation of largely untested, yet frequently used, leading radioactivity calculation codes employed by fusion reactor designers. Only REAC-2 has almost complete data-base, even though ratio of computed to measured activation rates departs from 1.0 for many products. RACC code has the narrowest data-base, data being present for Al, Mo and W only. DKRICF and THIDA have partially complete data bases. On average, none of the codes seems to outdo others. C/E's for all eleven materials have been presented and discussed.

C/E ranges from 4.1 x 10^-5 to 377. Also, mean C/E, for isotopes with half life larger than 0.6 y, shows a spread extending on both sides of C/E=1.0. 178mHf is strongly overpredicted (by a factor of 377) in REAC-2, and 172Lu (C/E=4.5 x 10^-5), and 152m+7Tb (from Dy) are strongly underpredicted by the same code. 108mAg and 152m+7Tb (from Tb) are significantly overpredicted. Only 152Eu, 150Eu, 94Nb, and 24Al come closest to C/E=1, even though the uncertainty is quite large. Partial explanation for underprediction of 108mAg and 152Eu might lie in possible underestimation of softer component of neutron energy spectrum used in the analysis.

A serious doubt hangs over the half life of 108mAg as included in all codes thus far. A value of 127 y is being currently used but some reported measurements indicate a half life of ~300y. We intend to accumulate more experimental data to verify this observation independently. Until then, we shall work with the current value. Cross-section data at higher neutron energies in REAC-2 needs a major revision for 109Ag(n,2n)108mAg, 152Eu(n,p)152m+7Tb (it is missing altogether), 94Mo(n,p)94Nb, 151Eu(n,2n)150Eu, 153Eu(n,2n)152m+2Eu, 179Hf(n,2n)178mHf, and 152m+7Tb. THIDA needs to have completely missing cross-section data for Dy, Ir, and Tb. 179Hf(n,2n)178mHf is also absent. In addition, major corrections are required for 109Ag(n,2n)108mAg, 27Al(n,2n)26Al, 94Mo(n,p)94Nb, and 153Eu(n,2n)152m+2Eu. DKRICF is quite incomplete too. The data for Dy, Hf, Ir, and Tb needs to be incorporated. In addition, isotopic Re cross-sections need to be complemented. Major corrections are required for 109Ag(n,2n)108mAg, 27Al(n,2n)26Al, 94Mo(n,p)94Nb, 151Eu(n,2n)150Eu, and 153Eu(n,2n)152m+2Eu. RACC possesses the least complete library. There is data only for Al, Mo, and W. The data for all remaining materials need to be incorporated. In addition, major corrections are needed for cross-sections for the following: 27Al(n,2n)26Al and 94Mo(n,p)94Nb.
There is a need to accumulate more experimental data from fission in packages 2 and 3. Once confirmatory experimental data from these additional fissions is accumulated, the cross-section working groups will have to work on the revision of the cross section data libraries of these codes. In parallel, it is recommended that evaluators undertake new theoretical calculations, akin to those reported recently in reference 25, so as to obtain closer estimates of the activation cross sections for the production of long-lived isotopes.

As long-drawn-out procedures are involved in certification of theoretical evaluations for inclusion in updated nuclear data files, it is highly desirable to have a short, updated file which will reflect the new measured data. It is important to do so in an early date as waste classification of fusion specific materials critically depends on the production cross sections, as well as the half lives and branching ratios, of the long-lived isotopes. In fact, the specific activity limits for shallow-land burial (v.i.e. UCFTR1, Class C waste) derived in Ref. 5 were based on cross section and other basic data present in REAC-2. As we report large disagreements between our experimental measurements and those evaluated using REAC-2 for many reactions, those specific activity limits will undergo large changes. Generally, poorly known production cross-section data for long-lived isotopes are expected to become more important in coming days because of their serious impact on search for low activation materials for fusion. Recently, we discovered a peculiar non-1/v dependence for two 14C (T1/2 = 5730 y, δ emitter) producing capture cross-sections, e.g., 17O(n,α)14C, and 15N(n,γ)14C, at neutron energy larger than 10 keV. In REAC-2 and DKRICF, during course of a low-activation blanket design study for an inertial confinement fusion reactor, the design had a first wall made of SiC and tritium breeding region made of Li2O. The initial computation with DKRICF classified the broader region above class C, but a new calculation with modified cross sections based on Ref. 27 reclassified this region as class A. The impact of the kind of the measurements reported in this work on waste classification issues is quite apparent and it needs to be strengthened further.
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