Understanding the World’s Energy and Environmental Problems and a Diversified Portfolio Approach for solving them

Mohamed Abdou
Distinguished Professor of Engineering and Applied Science (UCLA)
Director, Center for Energy Science & Technology (UCLA)
President, Council of Energy Research and Education Leaders, CEREL (USA)

Talk at NFRI

Daejon, Korea May 24, 2011
Understanding the World’s Energy and Environmental Problems and a Diversified Portfolio Approach for solving them

OUTLINE

1. The World Energy and Environmental Situation
   – Need for more energy, dominance of fossil fuels, impact on the environment, energy-water nexus

2. Renewable Energy Sources
   – Solar, wind, geothermal, biomass, hydro, etc.

3. Nuclear Fission
   – Existing plans, and contribution to current world energy needs
   – Nuclear renaissance and future outlook

4. Fusion
   – When can we have fusion?

5. Closing Remarks
World Energy and Environmental Situation
Energy Situation

- The world uses a lot of energy
  - Average power consumption = 17 TW (2.5 KW per person)
  - World energy market ~ $3 trillion / yr (electricity ~ $1 trillion / yr)

- The world energy use is growing
  - To lift people out of poverty, to improve standard of living, and to meet population growth

- Climate change and debilitating pollution concerns are on the rise
  - 80% of energy is generated by fossil fuels
  - CO2 emission is increasing at an alarming rate

- Oil supplies are dwindling
  - Special problem for transportation sector (need alternative fuel)
Total Projected Energy Use for Selected Countries

U.S. and China energy use will be the same in 2014

Source: Energy Information Administration, International Energy Outlook 2010
Energy Flows in the U.S. Economy, 2007

(Quadrillions of Btus)

BTU Content of Common Energy Units
1 Quad = 1,000,000,000,000,000 Btu
1 barrel of crude oil = 5,800,000 Btu
1 gallon of gasoline = 124,000 Btu
1 cubic foot of natural gas = 1,028 Btu
1 short ton of coal = 20,169,000 Btu
1 kilowatthour of electricity = 3,412 Btu
Energy Use by Sector (2000)

**Total Energy**
- Transportation: 26%
- Residential: 24%
- Commercial: 30%
- Industrial: 20%

**Electricity**
- Commercial: 46%
- Residential: 34%
- Industrial: 20%
Carbon dioxide levels over the last 60,000 years - we are provoking the atmosphere!

Source: University of Berne and US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Population (millions)</th>
<th>ppp-GDP (trillion $)</th>
<th>Energy (EJ)</th>
<th>fossil E (percent)</th>
<th>fossil CO\textsubscript{2} (MtC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>6692</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>8390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>1326</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>1910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>1670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

World Bank 2009, BP 2009
Where we’re headed under BAU: by 2030, energy +60%, electricity +75%, continued fossil dominance.

Primary energy

- Billion tonnes of oil equivalent

- Coal
- Oil
- Gas
- Nuclear
- Hydro
- Biomass
- Other renewables

WEO 2007
What is problematic about this future?
The problem is **not** “running out” of energy

Some mid-range estimates of world energy resources. Units are terawatt-years (TWy). Current world energy use is ~17 TWy/year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OIL &amp; GAS, CONVENTIONAL</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCONVENTIONAL OIL &amp; GAS (excluding clathrates)</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COAL</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METHANE CLATHRATES</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIL SHALE</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URANIUM in conventional reactors</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…in breeder reactors</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUSION (if the technology succeeds)</td>
<td>250,000,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENEWABLE ENERGY (available energy per year)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunlight on land</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy in the wind</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy captured by photosynthesis</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*From J. Holdren, OSTP*
Real problems: the economic, environmental, and security risks of fossil-fuel dependence

- **Coal burning for electricity & industry and oil burning in vehicles** are main sources of severe urban and regional air pollution – SO\(_x\), NO\(_x\), hydrocarbons, soot – with big impacts on public health, acid precipitation.

- **Emissions of CO\(_2\) from all fossil-fuel burning** are largest driver of global climate disruption, already associated with increasing harm to human well-being and rapidly becoming more severe.

- **Increasing dependence on imported oil & natural gas** means economic vulnerability, as well as international tensions and potential for conflict over access & terms.
Real problems: Alternatives to conventional fossil fuels all have liabilities & limitations

- Traditional biofuels (fuelwood, charcoal, crop wastes, dung) create huge indoor air-pollution hazard
- Industrial biofuels (ethanol, biodiesel) can take land from forests & food production, increase food prices
- Hydropower and wind are limited by availability of suitable locations, conflicts over siting
- Solar energy is costly and intermittent
- Nuclear fission has large requirements for capital & highly trained personnel, currently lacks agreed solutions for radioactive waste & links to nuclear weaponry
- Nuclear fusion doesn’t work yet
- Coal-to-gas and coal-to-liquids to reduce oil & gas imports doubles CO₂ emissions per GJ of delivered fuel
- Increasing end-use efficiency needs consumer education
Solving the Energy Problem and Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emission Requires Pursuing a Diversified Portfolio Approach

- Improve energy efficiency
- Expand use of existing “clean” energy sources (e.g. nuclear and renewable sources – solar, wind, etc.)
- Develop technologies to reduce impact of fossil fuels use (e.g. carbon capture and sequestration)
- Develop major new (clean) energy sources (e.g. fusion)
- Develop alternate (synthetic) fuels and electrical energy storage for transportation
Potential for Increasing Energy Efficiency is Enormous
Potential Electricity Savings in Commercial and Residential Buildings in 2020 and 2030 (currently 73% of electricity used in US – space heating and cooling, water heating, and lighting)
Energy Intensity* (efficiency) of the U.S. Economy Relative to 1970 levels

*Energy consumed per dollar GDP

*Energy consumed per dollar GDP (2000 constant dollars)

Source: Based on EIA, 2006
Renewable Energy Resources
Top Countries with Installed Renewable Electricity by Technology (2009)

Geothermal:
1. U.S.
2. Philippines
3. Indonesia
4. Mexico
5. Italy

Wind:
1. U.S.
2. China
3. Germany
4. Spain
5. India

Solar PV:
1. Germany
2. Spain
3. Japan
4. U.S.
5. Italy

CSP:
1. U.S.
2. Spain

Biomass:
1. U.S.
2. Brazil
3. Germany
4. China
5. Sweden

Source: REN21, GWEC, GEA, SEIA

Global Renewable Energy Development | August 2010
U.S. Nameplate Capacity and Generation (2009)

U.S. Electric Nameplate Capacity (2009): 1,121 GW

- 30.3% Coal
- 41.4% Natural Gas
- 9.4% Nuclear
- 6.9% Conv. Hydropower
- 4.7% Renewable Energy
- 2.1% Other
- 5.5% Petroleum

U.S. Renewable Capacity: 53 GW
- 1.1% Biomass
- 0.3% Geothermal
- 0.1% PV
- 3.1% Wind


- 44.6% Coal
- 23.3% Natural Gas
- 20.2% Nuclear
- 6.9% Conv. Hydropower
- 3.6% Renewable Energy
- 0.4% Other
- 1.0% Petroleum

U.S. Renewable Generation: 144 billion kWh
- 1.4% Biomass
- 0.4% Geothermal
- 0.1% Solar
- 1.5% Wind

Source: EIA, AWEA, SEIA, GEA
Other includes: pumped storage, batteries, chemicals, hydrogen, pitch, purchased steam, sulfur, tire-derived fuels, and miscellaneous technologies.
* Includes on- and off-grid capacity.
Renewable energy has been contributing to a growing portion of U.S. electric capacity additions (45% in 2008)
Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) of Renewable Electricity by Technology (2009)

Assumptions

- Currency: 2009 US $ (real)
- Real Discount Rate: 10.5%
- Inflation Rate: 3%
- Economic Lifetime: 30 years
- Taxes: none
- Tax credits: none
- Debt/Equity Financing: none
- Biomass Fuel Costs: AEO 2009
- PV Degradation: none
- CSP Technology: no storage
- Geothermal Technology: hydrothermal

* Current range of utility scale (greater than 5MW) PV in the U.S.

Sources: AEO, EPA, EPRI, NREL, McGowin, DeMec et al.

U.S. Energy Background Information  |  August 2010
Estimated Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Electricity Generation

Nuclear and Renewable Energy Sources are essential to addressing Climate Change
Nuclear Fission

Nuclear Renaissance
Internationally, there are ongoing plans for nuclear energy expansion (Nuclear Renaissance)

- **Worldwide**: About 440 fission power plants totaling 375 GWe of capacity in 33 countries. Additionally, 60 more reactors with ~55 GWe currently under construction.
  - About 350 of the 440 reactors are light-water reactors (LWRs). The rest are heavy-water reactors, gas cooled reactors, and graphite-moderated light-water reactors.

- **US** has currently 104 nuclear power plants. As of 1 October 2010:
  1 more under construction and 9 additional are planned

- **China** has the most aggressive program
  -- China’s nuclear energy plan
    • Present: 6.1 GWe
    • 2020: 32 GWe
    • 2050: 240 Gwe
  -- China’s fast reactor plans
    • Experimental: 25MWe (2006)
    • Prototype: 300-600 MWe (2020)
    • Large: 1000-1500 MWe (2025)

But managing nuclear materials and proliferation is becoming increasingly complex, requiring a modernized international approach.
Impressive Improvements in Economics of Nuclear Power in Existing Fission Power Plants

- Incremental improvements enabled currently operating fission power plants to produce more energy than anticipated over their lifetimes. The average plant capacity factor increased from 66% in 1990 to 91.8% in 2007.

- From Australian National Affairs Article:

  The standout technology, from a cost perspective, is nuclear power. From the eight nuclear cost studies we reviewed (all published in the past decade, and adjusted to 2009 dollars), the median cost of electricity from current technology nuclear plants was just above new coal plants with no carbon price. Having the lowest carbon emissions of all the fit-for-service technologies, nuclear remains the cheapest solution at any carbon price. Importantly, it is the only fit-for-service baseload technology that can deliver the 2050 emission reduction targets..........................

- Also, other improvements in safety and reduced generation of high level waste.
Nuclear Power Must Remain a KEY Part of Our Energy Portfolio

Nuclear is the second largest source of U.S. electricity
- 20% of electricity generation
- 72% of GHG emission-free electricity
- Nuclear electricity is 10 times more than Solar, Wind and Geothermal combined

Nuclear energy is the dominant non-fossil energy technology
Evolution of Nuclear Power

Current Nuclear Energy Research Objectives

- **Extend life of currently operating plants**
  - Goal is to extend currently operating LWRs plant life from design life (40 years) to beyond 60 years

- **Enable new builds for electricity and process heat production and improve the affordability of nuclear energy**
  - Develop and demonstrate next generation advanced plant concepts and technologies

- **Enable sustainable fuel cycles**
  - High burnup fuel
  - Develop optimized systems that maximize energy production while minimizing waste

- **Understand and minimize proliferation risks**
  - Goal is limiting proliferation and security threats by protecting materials, facilities, sensitive technologies and expertise

Enhancing SAFETY is a MAJOR PRIORITY
CREATING a Star on Earth
Fusion: The Ultimate Energy Source for Humanity
Incentives for Developing Fusion

- Sustainable energy source
  (for DT cycle: provided that Breeding Blankets are successfully developed and tritium self-sufficiency conditions are satisfied)
- No emission of Greenhouse or other polluting gases
- No risk of a severe accident
- No long-lived radioactive waste

Fusion energy can be used to produce electricity and hydrogen, and for desalination.
The World Fusion Program has a Goal for a Demonstration Power Plant (DEMO) by ~2040(?)

Plans for DEMO are based on Tokamaks

(Cryostat) (Poloidal Ring Coil) (Coil Gap) (Rib Panel) (Blanket) (Maint. Port) (Vacuum Vessel) (Plasma) (Center Solenoid Coil) (Toroidal Coil)

(Illustration is from JAEA DEMO Design)
Fusion Research is about to transition from Plasma Physics to Fusion Nuclear Science and Engineering

- **1950-2010**
  - The Physics of Plasmas

- **2010-2035**
  - The Physics of Fusion
  - Fusion Plasmas-heated and sustained
    - \( Q = \left( \frac{E_f}{E_{input}} \right)^{\sim 10} \)
    - ITER (MFE) and NIF (inertial fusion)

- **ITER** is a major step forward for fusion research. It will demonstrate:
  1. Reactor-grade plasma
  2. Plasma-support systems (S.C. magnets, fueling, heating)

But the most challenging phase of fusion development still lies ahead:
The Development of Fusion Nuclear Science and Technology

The cost of R&D and the time to DEMO and commercialization of fusion energy will be determined largely by FNST.
The problem with fusion is that it is not being developed fast enough (taking too long!)

“The Time to Fusion seems to be always 40 years away”

The World Needs Fusion.
To accelerate the development of fusion energy requires a change in Governments Policies and in the Fusion Community strategy/focus:

- Need More Substantial Funding: Governments must invest in long-term solutions for the future
- Problems are challenging: Need More Ingenuity
- Fusion Community strategy/focus need to change: Need to Focus on the Major Remaining Challenge: Launch an aggressive FNST Program NOW

This is essential to realizing fusion in the 21st Century
Closing Remarks

• Energy plays a critical role in economic development, economic prosperity, national security, and environmental quality

• Solving the Energy Problem and Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emission Requires Pursuing a Diversified Portfolio Approach

• Key Major Transformations required:
  – Efficient use of energy, e.g., buildings (lighting, heating and cooling), cars and trucks, and industry.
  – New sources of energy for producing electricity that reduce emissions of CO\textsubscript{2}—nuclear, coal with CO\textsubscript{2} removed and stored, solar, wind, and geothermal.
  – Transportation fuels that derive from alternatives to petroleum, e.g., liquids from biomass, coal and electricity.
Closing Remarks (cont’d)

• **Fusion is the most promising long-term energy option**
  – Renewable fuel, no emission of greenhouse gases, no long-term radioactive waste, inherent safety

• But the problem is that fusion is not being developed fast enough. “**The Time to Fusion seems to be always 40 years away**”. Need more funding, more ingenuity, and focus on the most difficult remaining challenge: Fusion Nuclear Science and Technology (FNST)

• **The cost of R&D and the time to DEMO and commercialization of fusion energy will be determined largely by FNST.**

Fusion research requires the talents of many scientists and engineers in many disciplines. Need to attract and train bright young students and researchers.
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